

2022 DRAFT REVISION: STANDARDS FOR ACCREDITATION AND REQUIREMENTS OF AFFILIATION

Introduction

An institution of higher education is a community dedicated to students, to the pursuit and dissemination of knowledge, to the study and clarification of values, and to the advancement of the society it serves. The Middle States Commission on Higher Education (MSCHE), through accreditation, mandates that its member institutions meet rigorous and comprehensive standards, which are addressed in the context of the mission of each institution and within the culture of ethical practices and institutional integrity expected of accredited institutions. In meeting the quality standards of MSCHE accreditation, institutions earn accredited status, and this permits us to state with confidence: "Our students are well-served; society is well-served."

Over the course of history, our identity has been closely aligned with assuring trust and instilling confidence in higher education. As an institutional accreditor, the Commission prides itself on advocating for honest self-reflection that results in meaningful change at our institutions.

We verify the quality of higher education through peer review, assessment, and evaluation. An institution is accredited when the educational community has verified that its mission and goals are achieved through self-reflection and peer review. The extent to which each educational institution accepts and fulfills the responsibilities inherent in the process of accreditation is a measure of its commitment to striving for and achieving excellence in its endeavors.

Our Standards for Accreditation and Requirements of Affiliation serve as an ongoing guide for institutions considering application for membership, those accepted as candidate institutions, and those accredited institutions seeking reaccreditation through engaged self-review and peer evaluation. Candidate and accredited institutions are expected to demonstrate compliance with our standards for accreditation, requirements of affiliation, policies and procedures, and applicable federal regulatory requirements, to conduct their activities in a manner consistent with the standards, and to engage in ongoing processes of self-review and improvement. Accredited institutions pursue excellence and innovation at all levels through ongoing quality assurance, improvement, innovation, and systematic, periodic, and sustained assessment.

Each standard is expressed in one or two sentences and is then followed by criteria. The criteria specify characteristics or qualities that encompass the standard. These criteria are used together with the standards, within the context of institutional mission, to demonstrate or determine compliance. They are to be used to evaluate holistically how an institution achieves a standard.

Principles

Five important principles in higher education guide the analysis in each of the seven Standards because of their importance in higher education.

Guiding Principles

Application within the Context of an Institution's Mission and Goals

Focus on the Student Experience

Consideration of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Emphasis on the Analysis of Data and Demonstration of the Use of Results in Evidence-based Decision-making

Support for Innovation as an Essential Part of Continuous Institutional Improvement

Mission-Centric

The individual mission and goals of each institution remain the context within which our accreditation standards are applied. Our standards intentionally emphasize functions rather than specific structures in recognition of the many different models for educational and operational excellence.

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

Throughout the seven standards, institutions should reflect deeply and share results on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) in the context of their mission by considering at a minimum: goals and actions (Standard I); demographics and policies or processes (Standard II and VII); curriculum and services (Standard III and IV); assessments (Standard V); and resource allocation (Standard VI). One goal of DEI reflection would be to address disparate impacts on an increasingly diverse student population if discovered.



Data-Based Decision-Making

The standards reflect a commitment to data-based decision-making. Institutions must analyze a range of data, including disaggregated data, to ensure students are appropriately served and institutional mission and goals are met. Institutions should rely upon the data required by the Commission and additional data used by the institution. Institutions should follow the evidence guidelines developed by the Commission reflective of a range of data considerations, consonant with higher education expectations and consistent with the institution's mission.

Mission, Vision, and Values

Our Mission, Vision, and Values define our overall purpose, reflect our forward-thinking nature, and define values that guide our work.

Mission

The Middle States Commission on Higher Education (MSCHE) promotes educational excellence through innovation across diverse institutions.

Vision

To be a prominent voice and champion in higher education to leverage accreditation for our member institutions and students.

Values

Protecting the Future

We ensure that member institutions meet rigorous and comprehensive standards to protect educational quality.

Guiding for Good

We fuel discovery and progress for our community and society as an independent voice in higher education.

Setting the Standard

We promote quality through honest reflection, institutional growth, and meaningful change.

These values guide our external and internal relationships where integrity, respect, and self-improvement promote personal and collective growth, creativity, collaboration, accountability, and fair and equitable treatment.



United States Department of Education Recognition

MSCHE is recognized as an institutional accreditor by the United States Department of Education (USDE) to conduct accreditation and pre-accreditation (candidacy status) activities for institutions of higher education, including distance education and correspondence education programs offered at those institutions. USDE recognizes accrediting agencies as authorities on the quality of higher education. Under the Higher Education Act's (HEA's) federal student aid programs, accrediting agencies recognized by the United States Department of Education must meet the Department's regulatory criteria. MSCHE is a title IV gatekeeper, and many institutions access title IV through our accreditation. Part of the work of MSCHE is ensuring institutions remain in compliance with applicable federal requirements, including through their title IV responsibilities.



Eligibility Requirements

An institution interested in accreditation with the Middle States Commission on Higher Education (MSCHE) is required to demonstrate that it meets minimum eligibility requirements. In determining eligibility, the Commission considers an institution's legal authority to operate or licensure, standing with other accreditors, operational status, mission and related goals, governance and administrative structures, financial resources, and certifications of information. The eligibility requirements are further defined in Commission policy and procedures.

Requirements of Affiliation

To be eligible for, to achieve, and to maintain Middle States Commission on Higher Education accreditation, an institution must demonstrate that it fully meets our the following Requirements of Affiliation. Compliance is expected to be continuous and will be validated periodically, typically at the time of institutional self-study and during any other evaluation of the institution's compliance. Once eligibility is established, an institution then must demonstrate on an ongoing basis that it meets the Standards for Accreditation.

1. The institution is authorized or licensed to operate as a postsecondary educational institution and to award postsecondary degrees; it provides written documentation demonstrating both. Authorization or licensure is from an appropriate governmental organization or agency within the Middle States region (Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands), as well as by other agencies as required by each of the jurisdictions, regions, or countries in which the institution operates.

Institutions that offer only postsecondary certificates, diplomas, or licenses are not eligible for accreditation by the Middle States Commission on Higher Education.

- 2. The institution is operational, with students actively enrolled in its degree programs.
- 3. For institutions pursuing Candidacy or Initial Accreditation Candidate for Accreditation Status or the grant of Accreditation, the institution will graduate at least one class before the evaluation team visit for initial the grant of a Accreditation takes place, unless the institution can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Commission that the lack of graduates does not compromise its ability to demonstrate that students have achieved appropriate learning outcomes.
- 4. The institution's representatives institution will communicate with the Commission in English, both orally and in writing, with all accreditation materials required to be provided in English.



- 5. The institution complies with all applicable government (usually Federal and state) laws and regulations.
- 6. The institution complies with applicable Commission, interregional, and inter-institutional policies. These policies can be viewed on the Commission website, www. msche.org.
- 7. The institution has a mission statement and related goals, approved by its governing board, that defines its purposes within the context of higher education.
- 8. The institution systematically evaluates its educational and other programs and makes public how well and in what ways it is accomplishing its purposes.
- 9. The institution's student learning programs and opportunities are characterized by rigor, coherence, and appropriate assessment of student achievement throughout the educational offerings, regardless of certificate or degree level or delivery and instructional modality.
- 10. Institutional planning integrates goals for academic and institutional effectiveness and improvement, student achievement of educational goals, student learning, and the results of academic and institutional assessments.
- 11. The institution has documented financial resources, funding base, and plans for financial development, including those from any related entities (including without limitation systems, religious sponsorship, and corporate ownership) adequate to support its educational purposes and programs and to ensure financial stability. The institution demonstrates a record of responsible fiscal-management, has a prepared budget for the current year, and undergoes an external financial audit on an annual basis.
- 12. The institution fully discloses its legally constituted governance structure(s) including any related entities (including without limitation systems, religious sponsorship, and corporate ownership). The institution's governing body is responsible for the quality and integrity of the institution and for ensuring that the institution's mission is being accomplished.
- 13. A majority of the institution's governing body's members have no employment, family, ownership, or other personal financial interest in the institution. The governing body adheres to a conflict of interest policy that assures that those interests are disclosed and that they do not interfere with the impartiality of governing body members or outweigh the greater duty to secure and ensure the academic and fiscal integrity of the institution. The institution's district/system or other chief executive officer shall not serve as the chair of the governing body.



- 14. The institution and its governing body/bodies make freely available to the Commission accurate, fair, and complete information on all aspects of the institution and its operations. The governing body/bodies ensure that the institution describes itself in comparable and consistent terms to all of its accrediting and regulatory agencies, communicates any changes in accredited status, and agrees to disclose information (including levels of governing body compensation, if any) required by the Commission to carry out its accrediting responsibilities.
- 15. The institution has a core of faculty (full-time or part-time) and/or other appropriate professionals with sufficient responsibility to the institution to assure the continuity and coherence of the institution's educational programs.



Standard I

Mission and Goals

The institution's mission defines its purpose within the context of higher education, the students it serves, and what it intends to accomplish. The institution's stated goals are clearly linked to its mission and specify how the institution fulfills its mission.

Criteria

- 1. clearly defined mission and goals that:
 - a. are developed through appropriate collaborative <u>and inclusive</u> participation by all who facilitate or are otherwise responsible for institutional development and improvement;
 - b. address external as well as internal contexts and constituencies;
 - c. are approved and supported by the governing body;
 - d. guide faculty, administration, staff, and governing structures in making decisions related to planning, resource allocation, program and curricular development, and the definition of institutional and educational outcomes;
 - e. include support of scholarly inquiry and creative activity, at levels and of the type appropriate to the institution;
 - f. are publicized and widely known by the institution's internal stakeholders;
 - g. are periodically evaluated;
- 2. institutional goals that are realistic, appropriate to higher education, and consistent with mission;
- 3. goals that focus on student learning and related outcomes including retention, graduation, transfer, and placement rates; consider diversity, equity, and inclusion principles; and focus on institutional improvement; and are supported by administrative, educational, and student support programs and services; and are consistent with institutional mission; and
- 4. periodic assessment of mission and goals to ensure they are relevant and achievable.



Standard II

Ethics and Integrity

Ethics and integrity are central, indispensable, and defining hallmarks of effective higher education institutions. in all activities, whether internal or external, an institution must be faithful to its mission, honor its contracts and commitments, adhere to its policies, and represent itself truthfully.

Criteria

- 1. a commitment to academic freedom, intellectual freedom, freedom of expression, and respect for intellectual property rights;
- 2. a climate that fosters respect among students, faculty, staff, and administration from a range of diverse backgrounds, ideas, and perspectives;
- 3. a grievance policy that is documented and disseminated to address complaints or grievances raised by students, faculty, or staff. The institution's policies and procedures are fair and impartial, and assure that grievances are addressed promptly, appropriately, and equitably;
- 4. the avoidance of conflict of interest or the appearance of such conflict in all activities and among all constituents;
- 5. fair and impartial practices <u>with attention to employment demographics</u> in the hiring, evaluation, promotion, discipline, and separation of employees;
- 6. honesty and truthfulness in public relations announcements, advertisements, recruiting and admissions materials and practices, as well as in internal communications;
- 7. as appropriate to its mission, <u>has policies</u>, services, or programs in place:
 - a. to promote diversity, equity, and inclusion;
 - a.b. to promote affordability and accessibility;
 - <u>b.c.</u> to enable students to understand funding sources and options, value received for cost, and methods to make informed decisions about incurring debt;



- 8. compliance with all applicable federal, state,government laws and regulations, and -Commission reporting policies and procedures, regulations, and requirements including but not limited to reporting regarding:
 - a. required information for students and the public;
 - b. representation of accreditation status;
 - a.c. the full disclosure of information on institution-wide assessments, graduation, retention, certification and licensure or licensing board pass rates;
 - b.d. the institution's compliance with the Commission's Requirements of Affiliation:
 - e. verification of student identity in distance and correspondence education;
 - e.f. substantive changes affecting institutional mission, goals, programs, operations, sites, and other material issues which must be disclosed in a timely and accurate fashion; and
 - d. the institution's compliance with the Commission's policies; and
- 9. periodic assessment of ethics and integrity as evidenced in institutional policies, processes, practices, and the manner in which these are implemented.



Standard III

Design and Delivery of the Student Learning Experience

An institution provides students with learning experiences that are characterized by rigor and coherence at all program, certificate, and degree levels, regardless of instructional modality. All learning experiences, regardless of modality, program pace/schedule, level, and setting are consistent with higher education expectations.

Criteria

- 1. certificate, undergraduate, graduate, and/or professional programs leading to a degree or other recognized higher education credential designed to foster a coherent student learning experience and to promote synthesis of learning; and are assigned a reasonably approximate number of credit hours (or other value) for the amount of work completed by a student, with sufficient course content, and program of a length appropriate to the objectives of the degree or other credential; designed to foster a coherent student learning experience and to promote synthesis of learning;
- 2. student learning experiences that are designed, delivered, and assessed by faculty (full-time or part-time) and/or other appropriate professionals who are:
 - a. rigorous and effective in teaching, assessment of student learning, scholarly inquiry, and service, as appropriate to the institution's mission, goals, and policies;
 - b. qualified for the positions they hold and the work they do;
 - c. sufficient in number with a core holding responsibility to the institution to assure the continuity and coherence of the institution's educational programs;
 - d. provided with and utilize sufficient opportunities, resources, and support for professional growth and innovation;
 - e. reviewed regularly and equitably based on written, disseminated, clear, and fair criteria, expectations, policies, and procedures;
- 3. academic programs of study that are clearly and accurately described in official publications of the institution in a way that students are able to understand and follow degree and program requirements and expected time to completion;
- 4. sufficient learning opportunities and resources to support both the institution's programs of study and students' the academic progress of all student populations;



- 5. at institutions that offer undergraduate education, a general education program, free standing or integrated into academic disciplines, that:
 - a. offers a sufficient scope to draw students into new areas of intellectual experience, expanding their cultural and global awareness and cultural sensitivity, and preparing them to make well-reasoned judgments outside as well as within their academic field:
 - b. offers a curriculum designed so that students acquire and demonstrate essential skills including at least oral and written communication, scientific and quantitative reasoning, critical analysis and reasoning, technological competency, and information literacy. Consistent with mission, the general education program also includes the study of values, ethics, and diverse perspectives; and
 - c. in non-US institutions that do not include general education, provides evidence that students can demonstrate general education skills;
- 6. in institutions that offer graduate and professional education, opportunities for the development of research, scholarship, and independent thinking, provided by faculty and/or other professionals with credentials appropriate to graduate-level curricula;
- 7. adequate and appropriate institutional review and approval on any student learning opportunities designed, delivered, or assessed by third-party providers; and
- 8. periodic assessment of the effectiveness of programs providing student learning opportunities for all student populations.



Standard IV

Support of the Student Experience

Across all educational experiences, settings, levels, and instructional modalities, the institution recruits and admits students whose interests, abilities, experiences, and goals are congruent with its mission and educational offerings. The institution commits to student retention, persistence, completion, and success through a coherent and effective support system sustained by qualified professionals, which enhances the quality of the learning environment, contributes to the educational experience, and fosters student success.

Criteria

- 1. clearly stated, ethical policies, <u>practices</u>, and processes to <u>recruit</u>, admit, retain, and facilitate the success of students whose interests, abilities, experiences, and goals provide a reasonable expectation for success and are compatible with institutional mission, including:
 - a. accurate and comprehensive information regarding expenses, financial aid, scholarships, grants, loans, repayment, and refunds;
 - b. a process by which students who are not adequately prepared for study at the level for which they have been admitted are identified, placed, and supported in attaining appropriate educational goals;
 - c. orientation, advisement, and counseling programs to enhance retention and guide students throughout their educational experience;
 - d. processes designed to enhance the successful achievement of students' educational goals including certificate and degree completion, transfer to other institutions, and post- completion placement; and
 - e. processes to disaggregate and analyze student achievement data and implement strategies to improve outcomes for subpopulations of students.
- fair and transparent policies and procedures regarding evaluation and acceptance
 of transfer credits, and credits awarded through experiential learning, prior nonacademic learning, competency-based assessment, and other alternative learning
 approaches;
- 3. policies and procedures for the safe and secure maintenance and appropriate release of student information and records;
- 4. if offered, athletic, student life, and other extracurricular activities that are regulated by the same academic, fiscal, and administrative principles and procedures that govern all other programs;



- 5. if applicable, adequate and appropriate institutional review and approval of student support services designed, delivered, or assessed by third-party providers; and
- 6. periodic assessment of the effectiveness of <u>student support services with</u> <u>appropriate metrics and evaluation.programs supporting the student experience.</u>



Standard V

Educational Effectiveness Assessment

Assessment of student learning and achievement demonstrates that the institution's students have accomplished educational goals consistent with their program of study, degree level, the institution's mission, and appropriate expectations for institutions of higher education.

Criteria

- 1. clearly stated educational goals at the institution and degree/program levels, which are interrelated with one another, with relevant educational experiences, and with the institution's mission;
- organized and systematic assessments, conducted by faculty and/or appropriate professionals, evaluating the extent of student achievement of institutional and degree/ program goals. Institutions should:
 - a. define meaningful curricular goals with defensible standards for evaluating whether students are achieving those goals;
 - b. articulate how they prepare students in a manner consistent with their mission for successful careers, meaningful lives, and, where appropriate, further education. They should collect and provide data on the extent to which they are meeting these goals;
 - c. support and sustain assessment of student achievement and communicate the results of this assessment to stakeholders;
- 3. consideration and use of assessment results <u>for all student populations</u> for the improvement of <u>student outcomes and</u> educational effectiveness; Consistent with the institution's mission, such uses include some combination of the following:
 - a. assisting students in improving their learning;
 - b. improving pedagogy and curriculum;
 - c. reviewing and revising academic programs and support services;
 - d. planning, conducting, and supporting a range of professional development activities;
 - e. planning and budgeting for the provision of academic programs and services;
 - f. informing appropriate constituents about the institution and its programs;



- g. improving key indicators of student success, such as retention, graduation, transfer, and placement rates;
- h. implementing other processes and procedures designed to improve educational programs and services;
- 4. if applicable, adequate and appropriate institutional review and approval of assessment services designed, delivered, or assessed by third-party providers; and
- 5. periodic assessment of the effectiveness of assessment processes utilized by the institution for the improvement of educational effectiveness.



Standard VI

Planning, Resources, and Institutional Improvement

The institution's planning processes, resources, and structures are aligned with each other and are sufficient to fulfill its mission and goals, to continuously assess and improve its programs and services, and to respond effectively to opportunities and challenges.

Criteria

- 1. institutional objectives, both institution- wide and for individual units, that are clearly stated, assessed appropriately, linked to mission and goal achievement, reflect conclusions drawn from assessment results, and are used for planning and resource allocation;
- 2. clearly documented and communicated planning and improvement processes that provide for <u>inclusive</u> constituent participation, and incorporate the use of assessment results;
- 3. planning that integrates goals for academic and institutional effectiveness and improvement, student achievement of educational goals, student learning, and the results of academic and institutional assessments;
- 4. planning for diversity, equity, and inclusion that is aligned with the institution's mission and goals, has sufficient resources, and leads to institutional improvement;
- 3.5.a financial planning and budgeting process that is aligned with the institution's mission and goals, evidence-based, and clearly linked to the institution's and units' strategic plans/ objectives;
- 4.6. fiscal and human resources as well as the physical and technical infrastructure adequate to support its operations wherever and however programs are delivered;
- 7. documented financial resources, funding base, and plans for financial development, including those from any related entities adequate to support its educational purposes and programs and to ensure financial stability;
- 8. a record of responsible fiscal management, including preparing a multi-year budget and an annual independent audit confirming financial viability and proper internal financial controls, with evidence of corrective measures taken to address any material findings cited in the audit or an accompanying management letter;
- 5.9. well-defined, inclusive decision-making processes and clear assignment of responsibility and accountability for achieving institutional and unit effectiveness;
- 6.10. comprehensive planning for facilities, infrastructure, and technology that includes consideration of sustainability and deferred maintenance and is linked to the institution's strategic and financial planning processes;
- 7.11. compliance with its program responsibilities under existing federal title IV of the most recent Higher Education Action as amended of under existing federal and other state



- laws and regulations, including any and audits of financial aid programs as required by federal and state regulations;
- 8. an annual independent audit confirming financial viability with evidence of follow- up on any concerns cited in the audit's accompanying management letter;
- 9.12. strategies to measure and assess the adequacy and efficient utilization of institutional resources required to support the institution's mission and goals; and
- 10.13. periodic assessment of the effectiveness of planning, resource allocation, institutional renewal processes, and availability of resources, withand the institution is responsible for ascertaining the complete institutional requirements under title IV.



Standard VII

Governance, Leadership, and Administration

The institution is governed and administered in a manner that allows it to realize its stated mission and goals in a way that effectively benefits the institution, its students, and the other constituencies it serves. Even when supported by or affiliated with governmental, corporate, religious, educational system, or other unaccredited organizations a related entity, the institution has education as its primary purpose, and it operates as an academic institution with appropriate autonomy.

Criteria

- 1. a clearly articulated and transparent governance structure that outlines roles, responsibilities, and accountability for <u>inclusive</u> decision making by each constituency, including <u>the institution's legally constituted</u> governing body, administration, faculty, staff, and students, as well as any related entities;
- 2. a legally constituted governing body that:
 - a. serves the public interest, ensures that the institution clearly states and fulfills its mission and goals, has fiduciary responsibility for the institution, and is ultimately accountable for the academic quality, <u>integrity</u>, planning, and fiscal well-being of the institution;
 - b. has sufficient <u>diversity</u>, independence and expertise to ensure the integrity of the institution. Members must have primary responsibility to the accredited institution, <u>meet regularly</u>, and not allow political, financial, <u>relationship with a related entity</u>, or other <u>undue</u> influences to interfere with their governing responsibilities;
 - c. ensures that neither the governing body nor its individual members interfere in the day--to-day operations of the institution;
 - d. oversees at the policy level the quality of teaching and learning, the approval of degree programs and the awarding of degrees, the establishment of personnel policies and procedures, the approval of policies and by-laws, and the assurance of strong fiscal management;
 - e. plays a basic policy-making role in financial affairs to ensure integrity and strong financial management. This may include a timely review of audited financial statements and/or other documents related to the fiscal viability of the institution;
 - f. appoints and regularly evaluates the performance of the Chief Executive Officer;
 - g. is informed in all its operations by principles of good practice in board governance;
 - <u>h.</u> is not chaired by representatives of the institution's <u>district</u>/system or other chief executive officer;



- h.i. establishes and complies with a written conflict of interest policy designed to ensure the impartiality of the governing body by addressing matters such as payment for services, contractual relationships, employment, and family, financial or other interests that could pose or be perceived as conflicts of interest. A majority of members have no employment, family, ownership, or other personal financial interest in the institution;
- i. supports the Chief Executive Officer in maintaining the autonomy of the institution;
- itself in comparable and consistent terms to all of its accrediting and regulatory agencies.

3. Chief Executive Officer who:

- a. is appointed by, evaluated by, and reports to the governing body and shall not chair the governing body;
- b. has appropriate credentials and professional experience consistent with the mission of the organization;
- c. has the authority and autonomy required to fulfill the responsibilities of the position, including developing and implementing institutional plans, staffing the organization, identifying and allocating resources, and directing the institution toward attaining the goals and objectives set forth in its mission;
- d. has the assistance of qualified administrators, sufficient in number, to enable the Chief Executive Officer to discharge his/her duties effectively; and is responsible for establishing procedures for assessing the organization's efficiency and effectiveness;
- 4. an administration possessing or demonstrating:
 - a. an organizational structure that is clearly documented and that clearly defines reporting relationships;
 - b. an appropriate size and <u>diverse representation</u> with relevant experience to assist the Chief Executive Officer in fulfilling his/her roles and responsibilities;
 - c. members with credentials and professional experience consistent with the mission of the organization and their functional roles;
 - d. skills, time, assistance, technology, and information systems expertise required to perform their duties;
 - e. regular engagement with faculty and students in advancing the institution's goals and objectives;
 - f. systematic procedures for evaluating administrative units and for using assessment data to enhance operations; and
- 5. periodic assessment of the effectiveness of governance, leadership, and administration.

Draft Version: 2022-08-22

